



## ATTENTION

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

**First and Final Account and Report of Successor Administrator and Petition for Allowance of Ordinary and Extraordinary Commissions and Fees for Distribution.**

<b>DOD: 11/15/02</b>		<b>PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR</b> , Successor Administrator, is petitioner.  Account period: 3/3/08 – 6/29/16  <table> <tr> <td>Account</td> <td>-</td> <td><b>\$211,750.50</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Beginning POH</td> <td>-</td> <td><b>\$161,750.00</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ending POH</td> <td>-</td> <td><b>\$ 64,880.39</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3"> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>Administrator</td> <td>-</td> <td><b>\$7,235.00</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">(greater than statutory)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Administrator X/O</td> <td>-</td> <td><b>\$248.00</b> (for preparation of taxes)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3"> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>Attorney</td> <td>-</td> <td><b>\$7,235.00</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">(greater than statutory)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Attorney X/O</td> <td>-</td> <td><b>\$1,125.00</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">(7½ hours @ \$150/hr for surcharge petition, with objections)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3"> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bond fee</td> <td>-</td> <td><b>\$4,234.04</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">(incorrectly calculated)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3"> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>Closing</td> <td>-</td> <td><b>\$2,000.00</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3"> </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3"><b>Proposed distribution is as follows:</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Robin O'Neal</td> <td>-</td> <td><b>\$35,737.32</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Western Surety</td> <td>-</td> <td><b>\$6,630.03</b></td> </tr> </table>	Account	-	<b>\$211,750.50</b>	Beginning POH	-	<b>\$161,750.00</b>	Ending POH	-	<b>\$ 64,880.39</b>	 			Administrator	-	<b>\$7,235.00</b>	(greater than statutory)			Administrator X/O	-	<b>\$248.00</b> (for preparation of taxes)	 			Attorney	-	<b>\$7,235.00</b>	(greater than statutory)			Attorney X/O	-	<b>\$1,125.00</b>	(7½ hours @ \$150/hr for surcharge petition, with objections)			 			Bond fee	-	<b>\$4,234.04</b>	(incorrectly calculated)			 			Closing	-	<b>\$2,000.00</b>	 			<b>Proposed distribution is as follows:</b>			Robin O'Neal	-	<b>\$35,737.32</b>	Western Surety	-	<b>\$6,630.03</b>	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  <b>Need Amended Accounting.</b> Please see addition page for explanation.  1. The pleadings indicate that Robin O'Neal is developmentally disabled. Therefore distribution directly to her may not be appropriate. A conservatorship of her estate may be necessary.
Account	-		<b>\$211,750.50</b>																																																															
Beginning POH	-		<b>\$161,750.00</b>																																																															
Ending POH	-		<b>\$ 64,880.39</b>																																																															
Administrator	-		<b>\$7,235.00</b>																																																															
(greater than statutory)																																																																		
Administrator X/O	-		<b>\$248.00</b> (for preparation of taxes)																																																															
Attorney	-		<b>\$7,235.00</b>																																																															
(greater than statutory)																																																																		
Attorney X/O	-		<b>\$1,125.00</b>																																																															
(7½ hours @ \$150/hr for surcharge petition, with objections)																																																																		
Bond fee	-		<b>\$4,234.04</b>																																																															
(incorrectly calculated)																																																																		
Closing	-		<b>\$2,000.00</b>																																																															
<b>Proposed distribution is as follows:</b>																																																																		
Robin O'Neal	-		<b>\$35,737.32</b>																																																															
Western Surety	-		<b>\$6,630.03</b>																																																															
<b>Cont. from</b>			<table> <tr> <td>✓</td> <td><b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>✓</td> <td><b>Verified</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>✓</td> <td><b>Inventory</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>✓</td> <td><b>PTC</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><b>Not.Cred.</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>✓</td> <td><b>Notice of Hrg</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>✓</td> <td><b>Aff.Mail</b></td> <td>W/</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><b>Aff.Pub.</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>✓</td> <td><b>Sp.Ntc.</b></td> <td>W/</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><b>Pers.Serv.</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><b>Conf. Screen</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><b>Letters</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><b>Duties/Supp</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><b>Objections</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><b>Video Receipt</b></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><b>CI Report</b></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	✓	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		✓	<b>Verified</b>		✓	<b>Inventory</b>		✓	<b>PTC</b>			<b>Not.Cred.</b>		✓	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		✓	<b>Aff.Mail</b>	W/		<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		✓	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>	W/		<b>Pers.Serv.</b>			<b>Conf. Screen</b>			<b>Letters</b>			<b>Duties/Supp</b>			<b>Objections</b>			<b>Video Receipt</b>			<b>CI Report</b>																
✓	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>																																																																	
✓	<b>Verified</b>																																																																	
✓	<b>Inventory</b>																																																																	
✓	<b>PTC</b>																																																																	
	<b>Not.Cred.</b>																																																																	
✓	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>																																																																	
✓	<b>Aff.Mail</b>	W/																																																																
	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>																																																																	
✓	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>	W/																																																																
	<b>Pers.Serv.</b>																																																																	
	<b>Conf. Screen</b>																																																																	
	<b>Letters</b>																																																																	
	<b>Duties/Supp</b>																																																																	
	<b>Objections</b>																																																																	
	<b>Video Receipt</b>																																																																	
	<b>CI Report</b>																																																																	
✓	<b>9202</b>																																																																	
✓	<b>Order</b>																																																																	
	<b>Aff. Posting</b>																																																																	
	<b>Status Rpt</b>																																																																	
	<b>UCCJEA</b>																																																																	
	<b>Citation</b>																																																																	
✓	<b>FTB Notice</b>																																																																	
		<b>Reviewed by:</b> KT																																																																
		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 8/12/16																																																																
		<b>Updates:</b>																																																																
		<b>Recommendation:</b>																																																																
		<b>File 1- O'Neal</b>																																																																

The former administrator Christopher O'Neal was removed as Administrator and was surcharged \$128,600.00 for misappropriation of estate assets. There are three intestate heirs to this estate; former Administrator Christopher O'Neal, Carl O'Neal and Robin O'Neal. Each heir would be entitled to a 1/3 share of the estate. At the time of appointment Administrator Christopher and beneficiary Carl both waived bond. Beneficiary Robin did not waive bond and bond was set at \$50,000.00. The bond was for the estate but was primarily to ensure that Robin would receive her full share of the estate. Petition proposes to distribute to Robin her full share of the estate and to refund any remaining funds to the bonding company. As the bond was for the estate, any assets above the amount Robin is to receive should be distributed to the other heirs. Since Christopher still owes money to the estate for his surcharge any remaining funds, after Robin receives her full share, should be distributed to Carl O'Neal.

In addition, the accounting is incorrect. The account treats the surcharge of \$50,000.00 and the recovered asset valued at \$16,400.00 as receipts or additional inventoried items. These are funds that replaced misappropriated assets not additional assets. Therefore the total value of this estate is \$145,350.50 (real property \$145,000 and misc. furniture \$350.50).

The fee base incorrectly includes the recovered assets. Since these items are replacing misappropriated funds they should not be included in the fee base. The correct fee base is \$145,350.50.

Based on the above the statutory attorney fees and administrator's commissions would be \$5,360.50 each.

Bond fee calculation also incorrectly includes the recovered assets. The correct bond fee is \$2,907.04.

Based on the above, the summary of account should be as follows:

**Charges**

Inventory and Appraisals	\$145,350.50
Receipts	\$ 0.00
Gains on sales	\$ 0.00
Other charges	\$ 0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$145,350.50</b>

**Credits**

Disbursements	\$ 45.00
Loss on sale	\$ 0.00
Other credits	\$ 80,425.11 (\$145,000 less property recovered [\$16,400.00 truck and \$50,000 bond], commissions totaling \$1,717.11 and smog check \$108.00)
Property on hand	\$ 64,880.39
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$145,350.50</b>

Total costs of administration and closing reserve would be: \$17,436.04 (including \$435.00 to file this account).

**Please see additional page**

Proposed distribution is based solely on the \$128,600 surcharge. The distribution should include the full value of the estate \$145,350.00 (the \$128,600 surcharge, plus the recovered assets valued at \$16,400 and the misc. furniture valued at \$350.50).

**Distribution should be as follows:**

Administrator	\$5,360.50
Administrator x/o	\$248.00
Attorney	\$5,360.50
Attorney x/o	\$1,125.00
Bond fee	\$2,907.04
Filing fee	\$435.00
Closing	\$2,000.00
Robin O'Neal	\$42,637.99 (her full distributive share)
Carl O'Neal	\$4,806.36 (a portion of his distributive share)

Attorney  
Attorney

Rube, Melvin K. (for Respondent Ross Wilkinson – Movant)  
Clark, William F. (for Petitioner James Wilkinson – Objector)

**Notice of Motion and Motion to Tax Costs;  
Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion**

<b>William</b>		<p><b>Respondent ROSS WILKINSON</b> moves the Court under Cal. Rule of Court 3.1700(b)(1) taxing the costs of this action by striking from Petitioner's Memorandum of Costs After Judgment, Acknowledgment of Credit, And Declaration of Accrued Interest dated 6/28/16 the following:</p> <p>1. Petitioner's requested additional attorney fees in the amount of \$34,642.63 (Memorandum Item 1g) on the grounds that:</p> <p>a. Said attorney fees are for the enforcement of the Amended Judgment For Attorney Fees and Costs signed by Judge Black and Filed 12/22/14 (1<sup>st</sup> Amended Judgment) and are not legally collectible; and that</p> <p>b. The Memorandum does not set forth any facts justifying attorney fees in the amount of \$34,624.63, or in any amount whatsoever.</p> <p><b>Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion states</b> on 12/11/13, Judge Smith granted Petitioner's request for attorney fees of \$220,225.00, costs of \$6,957.47, and accounting fees of \$59,344.67, for a total amount of \$286,527.14 to be paid by Respondent Ross Wilkinson to Petitioner James Wilkinson.</p> <p>Subsequently, Respondent Ross Wilkinson made a partial payment of \$150,000.00.</p> <p>On 10/31/14, Petitioner filed a second Memorandum of Costs for \$22,193.63, which reflected payment of \$150,000.00.</p> <p>On 12/22/14, Judge Black signed an Amended Judgment (1<sup>st</sup> Amended Judgment) in favor of James Wilkinson and against Ross Wilkinson in the total amount of \$158,720.77.</p> <p><b><u>SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES</u></b></p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p>1. The motion is not verified.</p> <p>2. This motion was filed by Respondent Ross Wilkinson with Attorney Melvin Rube; however, it appears that the attorney of Record for Ross Wilkinson is still Jeffrey Simonian. No Substitution has been filed. Need clarification.</p> <p>3. Need order.</p>
<b>DOD: 2/2/09</b>			
<b>Dorthea</b>			
<b>DOD: 1/19/97</b>			
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>			
<b>Verified</b>	x		
<b>Inventory</b>			
<b>PTC</b>			
<b>Not.Cred.</b>			
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>			
<b>Aff.Mail</b>			
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>			
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>			
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>			
<b>Conf. Screen</b>			
<b>Letters</b>			
<b>Duties/Supp</b>			
✓ <b>Objections</b>			
<b>Video Receipt</b>			
<b>CI Report</b>			
<b>9202</b>			
<b>Order</b>	x		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>			
<b>Status Rpt</b>			
<b>UCCJEA</b>			
<b>Citation</b>			

**Reviewed by:** skc

**Reviewed on:** 8/15/16

**Updates:**

**Recommendation:**

**Page 2 – Movant states (Cont'd):** Petitioner has served a third Memorandum of Costs requesting approved costs under CCP 708.010 et seq. of \$2,223.95, accrued interest of \$37,422.84, and additional attorney fees of \$34,642.63. Petitioner also served a Proposed Second Amended Judgment for a total amount of \$233,010.19.

Petitioner's additional attorney fees of \$34,642.63 are not legally collectible by Petitioner. The award of \$220,225.00 were fees incurred **in contesting the accounting** (emphasis in original). There is nothing in the Ruling and Order that allows Petitioner to recover attorney fees incurred to enforce the 1<sup>st</sup> Amended Judgment. The collectability of attorney fees (as opposed to costs) for enforcement of a judgment against an individual is addressed in CCP 685.040. See also § 1033.5(a)(1)(A). Movant states the Memorandum of Costs contains no facts justifying Petitioner's Request for Additional Attorney Fees whatsoever. See argument for details.

Movant concludes that the request for additional attorney fees of \$34,642.63 should be stricken from the Memorandum.

**Opposition filed 8/4/16 by Petitioner James Wilkinson states** after a lengthy trial, the Court rendered an award of attorney fees and costs of \$286,527.14 in favor of Petitioner James Wilkinson against Respondent Ross Wilkinson. Petitioner pursued his rights to collect on said Judgment and took the necessary procedural steps, including proper recording of a Sister State Judgment in the State of Washington where Respondent resides. Petitioner retained counsel there and pursued collection efforts. Respondent also retained counsel there to delay and stall collection efforts.

Petitioner submitted Memorandum of Costs After Judgment to obtain additional sums for attorney fees of \$22,193.63, and also acknowledges the \$150,000.00 payment. On or about 12/22/14, Judgment was amended in favor of Petitioner for attorney fees and costs after Judgment, less the credit, for \$158,720.77 as of 12/9/14. The Court granted accumulated attorney fees and costs, post-Judgment, of \$22,193.63, despite Respondent's objections.

On 6/29/16, Petitioner filed a second Memorandum of Costs After Judgment for \$36,866.58 in attorney fees and accrued interest of \$37,422.84. The Motion to Tax Costs Before the Court objects to the award of attorney fees of \$34,624.63, but there is no objection to the accrued interest calculations totaling \$37,422.84. The accrued interest is correct and should be granted.

Petitioner relies on statutory and case laws in requesting attorney fees and states he is entitled to costs enforcing the judgment. See Opposition for discussion, authority. Therefore, Petitioner opposes Respondent's Motion to Tax Costs and requests attorney fees of \$34,820.63 and costs of \$1,783.44, plus accrued interest of \$37,422.84 because Respondent did not object to said accrued interest.

**Reply to Opposition filed 8/11/16 by Respondent Ross Wilkinson states** Petitioner's request for additional attorney fees should be denied. See discussion, authority. Respondent is not contesting the accrued interest; however, based on the argument provided, Petitioner's request for additional attorney fees of \$34,642.63 and for costs of \$2,223.95 should be stricken. As to the \$22,193.63 Petitioner shows as previously awarded post judgment costs, the portion of that amount attributed to post judgment attorney fees incurred in enforcement of Petitioner's judgment should also be stricken for the same reason that Petitioner's request for additional attorney fees of \$34,422.84 should be stricken. However, Respondent realizes that since the Court previously awarded those costs to Petitioner, the Court may not be able to tax these costs.

Attorney Kara Schacher, of Paso Robles (for Esperanza S. Boone, Administrator)

## Status Hearing Re: Filing of the First Account and/or Petition for Final Distribution

<b>DOD: 6/15/2012</b>	<b>ESPERANZA S. BOOKE</b> , sister, was appointed Administrator with Full IAEA authority without bond on 1/9/2013.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
		<b>Page 3B</b> is the Order to Show Cause.
	<b>Letters issued on 1/16/2013.</b>	<b>Continued from 7/13/2016.</b> Please refer to Minute Order summarized on the examiner notes for the Order to Show Cause.
<b>Cont. from: 030714, 040414, 061215, 072315, 082615, 030216, 040616</b>	<b>Pursuant to Probate Code § 8800(b)</b> , Final Inventory and Appraisal was due <b>5/16/2013</b> .	
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	<b>Inventory and Appraisal filed on 8/22/2013</b> shows an estate value of <b>\$0.00</b> , indicating this is a no-asset estate opened in order to allow the personal representative to proceed with a wrongful death claim on behalf of Decedent's heirs.	<b>The following issue from the last hearing remains:</b>
<b>Verified</b>		1. Request for Dismissal filed 6/15/2016 indicates dismissal was not entered as requested as estate administration must be properly terminated via noticed hearing. If dismissal of this matter is sought, need verified petition requesting closure of the estate without administration, containing facts supporting such request, and proof of service of notice to the following persons pursuant to Probate Code §§1220(a)(1) and (a)(2)(A) and 11000:
<b>Inventory</b>		• Esperanza S. Boone, sister and Administrator;
<b>Account</b> X	<b>Pursuant to Probate Code § 12200</b> , first account and/or petition for final distribution was due <b>1/16/2014</b> .	• George Salinas, brother;
<b>Not.Cred.</b>		• Jennie Ruiz, sister;
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>	<b>Status Conference Statement filed 3/22/2016 for a previous hearing states:</b>	• Lewis Salinas, brother;
<b>Aff.Mail</b>	• The only asset of this estate is a "pre-judgment interest" in the wrongful death action in the Eastern District Court of California, Case [number omitted];	• Ignacio Salinas, brother.
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>	• Recently the jury issued a verdict of not guilty/negligent in the death of Charles Salinas (copy of Verdict Form – First Phase dated 2/25/2016 attached as Exhibit A);	<b>Reviewed by:</b> LEG
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>	• <b>ROBERT MAY</b> , Attorney for Esperanza Brook in the Eastern District Court Case, has advised he will be filing a motion for a new trial;	<b>Reviewed on:</b> 8/12/16
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>	• Petitioner is unable to file a final inventory and appraisal for the estate until a judgment is obtained in that action;	<b>Updates:</b>
<b>Conf. Screen</b>	• She requests the Court continue this matter for <b>12 months</b> to allow for full disposition of the matter.	<b>Recommendation:</b>
<b>Letters</b>		<b>File 3A – Salinas</b>
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202</b>		
<b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b> X		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>		

Attorney Kara Schacher, of Paso Robles (for Esperanza S. Boone, Administrator)

## Order to Show Cause

<b>DOD: 6/15/2012</b>	<p><b>ESPERANZA S. BOOKE</b>, sister, was appointed Administrator with Full IAEA authority without bond on 1/9/2013.</p> <p><b>Minute Order dated 7/13/2016 from the last Status Hearing Re Filing of the First Account and/or Petition for Final Distribution states:</b> No appearances. The Court issues an Order to Show Cause to Kara Schacher as to why she should not be sanctioned for her failure to appear and address the issues on the examiner notes. Ms. Schacher is ordered to be personally present in court or present via CourtCall on 8/17/2016.</p> <p><b>Declaration of Attorney Re Sanctions for Failure to Appear on 6/12/2015 [sic] filed 7/22/2016 states:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• She was the attorney for <b>ESPERANZA S. BOOKE</b> in relation to this matter;</li> <li>• <b>ROBERT MAY</b>, attorney of record for the wrongful death lawsuit brought by Ms. Salinas' family in San Luis Obispo County, signed the <i>Substitution of Attorney</i> becoming the attorney of record in this matter on 7/8/[2016], and provided a copy of the <i>Substitution of Attorney</i> to her office for filing on the afternoon of 7/12/2016 (<i>Exhibit A</i>);</li> <li>• She was not in her office 7/12/2016 and did not get the <i>Substitution of Attorney</i> e-filed until 6:55 p.m. that evening; the <i>Substitution of Attorney</i> was file-stamped on 7/13/2016, and provided to the Court after the hearing on that morning had taken place;</li> <li>• She had emailed Robert May on 7/8/2016 and 7/11/2016, regarding this matter and advised him of the hearing on 7/13/2016, and that he could set up CourtCall to make an appearance (<i>Exhibit B</i>);</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;">~Please see additional page~</p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p>
<b>Cont. from</b>		
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<b>Verified</b>		
<b>Inventory</b>		
<b>PTC</b>		
<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202</b>		
<b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>		
		<p><b>Reviewed by:</b> LEG</p> <p><b>Reviewed on:</b> 8/12/15</p> <p><b>Updates:</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b></p> <p><b>File 3B – Salinas</b></p>

3B



***Declaration of Attorney Re Sanctions for Failure to Appear on 6/12/2015 [sic] filed 7/22/2016, continued:***

- She also spoke with Robert May on 7/8/2016 and 7/12/2016, regarding his appearing at the hearing on 7/13/2016 via CourtCall, and Robert May assured her he would be appearing via CourtCall during both of those conversations;
- She did not appear at the hearing on 7/13/2016 due to fact that Robert May had advised her that he was going to be making a telephonic appearance at the hearing;
- She apologizes for any inconvenience to the Court, and Court personnel, for the confusion;
- She respectfully asks that the Court not issue sanctions for her failure to appear at the hearing;
- She asks that the Court excuse her appearance at the hearing on August 17, 2016 based on this declaration, or in the alternative, allow her to appear via CourtCall at the hearing on 8/17/2016.

**HISTORY:**

- **Minute Order [Judge Hamlin] dated 3/7/2014** states: No appearances. The Court will expect the first account and/or petition for final distribution to be filed before the next hearing. Failure to file said document(s) will result in the issuance of an order to show cause regarding contempt. Matter continued to 4/4/2014. Kara Schacher is ordered to be personally present on 4/4/2014.
- **Minute Order dated 4/4/2014** from the continued hearing states the Court is informed that the estate is being kept open for the purpose of pursuing the litigation.
- **Minute Order dated 6/12/2015 states:** No appearances. The Court issues an Order to Show Cause for both attorney Kara Schacher and Esperanza S. Boone to appear either in person or by CourtCall as to why they should not be sanctioned for failure to appear and failure to file an accounting or status report, and as to why Esperanza S. Boone should not be removed as the personal representative. *(Clerk's Certificate of Mailing filed 6/12/2015 indicates a copy of the Minute Order of 6/12/2015 was mailed to Attorney Schacher and Esperanza S. Boone on 6/12/2015.)*
- **Declaration of Attorney Re Sanctions for Failure to Appear on 6/12/2015 filed 7/20/2015 for the Order to Show Cause set on 7/23/2015 states:** She did not appear at the hearing on 6/12/2015 due to a mistake in her calendar; She had the matter set for 7/12/2015, which is actually a Sunday, and thus she can only state that she must have entered the month incorrectly when calendaring the court date; She apologizes for any inconvenience to the Court for her mistake; she respectfully asks that the Court not dismiss the action, that the Court not remove Petitioner as the personal representative, and that the Court not issue sanctions for her failure to appear at the hearing; on 6/24/2015 she spoke to Attorney **ROBERT MAY**, attorney of record for the wrongful death lawsuit brought by Ms. Salinas' family in San Luis Obispo County, who informed her that the trial in that matter has been continued to **February of 2016**; she asks the Court to set this matter out for a status hearing in spring or summer of 2016.

**4A Gary F. Morris, Sr., 2013 Trust****Case No. 13CEPR01104**

Attorney Nancy J. LeVan (for Petitioner Gary Morris, Jr., Beneficiary)  
 Attorney Jennifer Walters (for Walter A. Morris, Successor Trustee)

**Petition to Compel Accounting by Trustee of the Gary F. Morris, Sr. 2013 Trust and Petition for Distribution of Trust Assets to Doug Standing, Trustee of the Gary Morris, Jr. Trust and Petition for Fees and Costs**

<b>DOD: 10/19/2013</b>	<b>GARY MORRIS, JR.,</b> Beneficiary, is Petitioner.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
		<b>Page 4B</b> is a Fee Waiver Review.
	<b>~Please see Petition for details~</b>	<b>Continued from 7/26/2016.</b> Minute Order states bond is set for <b>\$50,000.00</b> . Funds are to stay in a blocked account. Ms. Walters informs the Court she will be filing accounting next week. If accounting is filed at least 2 days before 8/17/2016, then this matter can come off calendar and be set along with the accounting [Court records do not show an accounting has been filed as of 8/12/2016.]
Cont. from 100615, 111715, 010516, 020916, 031516, 050316, 053116, 071216, 072616		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail	W /	
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.		
<input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen		
<input type="checkbox"/> Letters		
<input type="checkbox"/> Duties/S		
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt		
<input type="checkbox"/> CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/> 9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order		
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice		
		<b>Reviewed by:</b> LEG
		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 8/12/16
		<b>Updates:</b>
		<b>Recommendation:</b>
		<b>File 4A – Morris</b>

Attorney Nancy J. LeVan (for Petitioner Gary Morris, Jr.)

## Fee Waiver Review

DOD: 10/19/2013		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:  <u>Continued from 7/26/2016.</u>
Cont. from 100615, 111715, 010516, 020916, 031516, 050316, 053116, 071216, 072616		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: LEG
		Reviewed on: 8/12/16
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 4B – Morris

**5 Kyla Chea, Nova Chea & Aikon Serey Chea (GUARD/P) Case No. 14CEPR00808**

Petitioner: Paul Chea (Pro Per – Paternal grandfather)

Petitioner: Siramalin Uth (Pro Per – Paternal grandmother)

Objector: Vuthy Chea (Pro per – Father)

**Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (as to Aikon Serey Chea only)**

		<b><u>TEMPORARY EXPIRES 8/17/2016</u></b>	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
		<b>PAUL CHEA and SIRAMALIN UTH</b> , paternal grandparents, are petitioners.	<p><b>This petition is as to AIKON SEREY CHEA only.</b> (Petitioners were previously appointed of Kyla and Nova 12/18/2014.)</p> <p><b>Note: A Cambodian interpreter provided services at the hearing on 7/6/2016.</b></p> <p><b>Minute order dated 7/6/2016</b> continued matter to 8/17/2016. The following issues still exist:</p> <p><b>Petitioner:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notice of Hearing filed 6/16/2016 for Sameang Dy (maternal grandfather) is incomplete at #1 (name of person who filed the petition), #4b (address of court where hearing is to be held), and #2 of the proof of service (address of person serving the documents).</li> </ol> <p><b>Objector:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Need proof of service of objections on: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Paul Chea</li> <li>b. Siramalin Uth</li> </ol> </li> </ol>
Cont. from 052516, 070616			
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	Verified		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
✓	Notice of Hrg		
✓	Aff.Mail	w/	
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
✓	Conf. Screen		
✓	Letters		
✓	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
✓	CI Report		
	9202		
✓	Order		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
✓	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		
			<b>Reviewed by:</b> SEF
			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 8/12/2016
			<b>Updates:</b>
			<b>Recommendation:</b>
			<b>File 5- Chea</b>

<b>DOD: 12/11/2014</b>	<b>EDDIE DURAN</b> , was appointed Administrator with full IAEA without bond on 04/22/2015.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
	Letters issued on 04/22/2015	
<b>Cont. from 072016</b>	Final Inventory and Appraisal filed 09/22/2015, shows an estate valued at \$110,300.00	<b>Page 6B is the Order Show Cause</b>
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<b>Verified</b>	Supplemental No. 1 Final Inventory and Appraisal filed 06/13/2016 shows an estate valued at \$40,330.30.	<b>Minute Order of 07/20/2016:</b> No Appearance – The Court issues an Order to Show Cause to Gary Bagdasarian as to why he should not be sanctioned for his failure to appear and as to Eddie Duran as to why he should not be removed as Administrator for failure to file the First or Final Account. Mr. Bagdasarian is ordered to be personally p[resent and Mr. Duran is ordered to be personally present in court or present via CourCall on 08/17/2016.
<b>Inventory</b>		
<b>PTC</b>	Minute Order of 04/22/2016 set this Status Hearing for the filing of the First and/or Final Account.	1. Need First Account or Petition for Final Distribution.
<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>	<b>Status Hearing filed 08/11/2016</b> states although Mr. Duran's attorney failed to remind him of the Status Hearing on 07/20/2016 concerning the filing of the First and Final Account, he understands that, notwithstanding the fact that his attorney did not notify him of said hearing and that he did not appear, it is Mr. Duran's obligation to appear at all scheduled hearings and to provide documentation required by the Court, whether or not he is notified.	
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>	Other than cash and a small amount of household furniture, furnishings and personal effects, the only asset of the Estate is the real property located at 1132 W. Spruce Ave, Pinedale, California. The real property has been occupied by GARY DURAN, who is one-third beneficiary. The Administrator has full authority under IAEA. The Administrator has requested of GARY DURAN to leave the premises so the property can be placed into a position to be sold and then marketed. The Administrator has discussed listing the property with a realtor and is ready to do so. However, GARY DURAN, agreed to move initially and then refused thereafter.	
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202</b>		
<b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>		

Reviewed by: LV

Reviewed on: 08/12/2016

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 6A- Duran

Pursuant to the full authority under IAEA the Administrator suggested a preliminary distribution pursuant to Probate Code 10520(c) to all three beneficiaries, which would provide GARY DURAN sufficient funds to move out of the real property and acquire accommodations. GARY DURAN again refused to sign a Receipt of Distribution for any said sums and remains on the property.

Therefore, the Administrator has taken the steps to hire Attorney STEVEN R. HRDLICKA in connection with the eviction of GARY DURAN from the real property in order to allow the property to be cleaned, cleared of personal property and then marketed for sale.

The Administrator therefore requests a continuance for the filing of the First Account and Report for a period of 90 days to allow the completion of the eviction and, thereafter, the marketing of the property.

<b>DOD: 12/11/2014</b>	<b>EDDIE DURAN</b> , was appointed Administrator with full IAEA without bond on 04/22/2015.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
	Letters issued on 04/22/2015	
<b>Cont. from</b>	<b>Minute Order of 07/20/2016 set this Order to Show Cause.</b>	
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	<b>Minute Order states:</b> No Appearance – The Court issues an	
<b>Verified</b>	Order to Show Cause to Gary Bagdasarian as to why he	
<b>Inventory</b>	should not be sanctioned for his failure to appear and as to	
<b>PTC</b>	Eddie Duran as to why he should not be removed as	
<b>Not.Cred.</b>	Administrator for failure to file the First or Final Account. Mr.	
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>	Bagdasarian is ordered to be personally p[resent and Mr.	
<b>Aff.Mail</b>	Duran is ordered to be personally present in court or present	
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>	via CourCall on 08/17/2016.	
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>	Clerk's Certificate of Mailing indicates a copy of the minute	
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>	order of 07/20/2016 was mailed to Attorney Gary Bagdasarian	
<b>Conf. Screen</b>	and Administrator Eddie Duran on 07/20/2016	
<b>Letters</b>	<b>Status Hearing filed 08/11/2016</b> states although Mr. Duran's	
<b>Duties/Supp</b>	attorney failed to remind him of the Status Hearing on	
<b>Objections</b>	07/20/2016 concerning the filing of the First and Final Account,	
<b>Video Receipt</b>	he understands that, notwithstanding the fact that his attorney	
<b>CI Report</b>	did not notify him of said hearing and that he did not appear,	
<b>9202</b>	it is Mr. Duran's obligation to appear at all scheduled hearings	
<b>Order</b>	and to provide documentation required by the Court,	
<b>Aff. Posting</b>	whether or not he is notified.	
<b>Status Rpt</b>	Other than cash and a small amount of household furniture,	
<b>UCCJEA</b>	furnishings and personal effects, the only asset of the Estate is	
<b>Citation</b>	the real property located at 1132 W. Spruce Ave, Pinedale,	
<b>FTB Notice</b>	California. The real property has been occupied by GARY	
	DURAN, who is one-third beneficiary. The Administrator has full	
	authority under IAEA. The Administrator has requested of	
	GARY DURAN to leave the premises so the property can be	
	placed into a position to be sold and then marketed. The	
	Administrator has discussed listing the property with a realtor	
	and is ready to do so. However, GARY DURAN, agreed to	
	move initially and then refused thereafter.	
		<b>Reviewed by:</b> LV
		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 08/12/2016
		<b>Updates:</b>
		<b>Recommendation:</b>
		<b>File 6B- Duran</b>





## Probate Status Hearing RE: Filing of the First or Final Account

<b>DOD: 12/28/2014</b>	<b>DEBORAH A. HARPER</b> , surviving spouse, was appointed administrator with full IAEA with bond on 5/13/2015.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  1. <b>Need first account, petition for final distribution or current written status report</b> pursuant to Local Rule 7.5, which states in all matters set for status hearing verified status reports must be filed no later than 10 days before the hearing. Status Reports must comply with the applicable code requirements. Notice of the status hearing, together with a copy of the Status Report shall be served on all necessary parties.
<b>Cont. from</b>	Order was revoked on 6/24/2016 and an amended order was entered 9/23/2015 to limited authority without bond and monies to be placed in blocked account.	
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<b>Verified</b>		
<b>Inventory</b>		
<b>PTC</b>		
<b>Not.Cred.</b>	Letters issued 9/28/2015.	
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>	Corrected I&A filed 7/29/2016 shows the estate valued at: <b>\$179,232.89</b> .	
<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>	<b>Minute order dated 5/13/2015</b> set this status hearing.	
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202</b>		
<b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>	x	
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>		
		<b>Reviewed by:</b> SEF
		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 8/12/2016
		<b>Updates:</b>
		<b>Recommendation:</b>
		<b>File 7- Harper</b>

<b>DOD: 01/05/2015</b>	<b>SARAH OGNIBENE</b> , daughter, was appointed Administrator, with full IAEA authority, without bond on 05/13/2016.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
	Letters issued on 05/29/2016	
<b>Cont. from 072016</b>		
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	Final Inventory and Appraisal filed 06/02/2015 shows an estate valued at \$215,000.00.	<b>Page 8B is the Order to Show Cause</b>  1. Need First Account or Petition for Final Distribution.
<b>Verified</b>		
<b>Inventory</b>		
<b>PTC</b>	Minute Order of 05/13/2016 set this Status Hearing for the filing of the first and/or final account.	
<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<b>Aff.Mail</b>	<b>Declaration of Lance E. Armo Regarding Status of Matter filed 08/11/2016</b> states the last hearing in this case was held on 07/20/2016. Said hearing was the time ordered for the First and Final Account to be filed. Mr. Armo states he was in the midst of moving his office location and as of the day of the hearing his computers were not operating. He states he failed to appear at the hearing as his reminders on his computer did not notify him accordingly.	
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>	Mr. Armo states he has not yet completed the Final Petition for Distribution on Account as there is still an outstanding issue with Gary Kiehl, a person who filed a competing Petition to Administer Estate in this matter. Counsel for Mr. Kiehl and Mr. Armo have spoken several times however no agreement has been reached on the authenticity or validity of the document Mr. Kiehl purports to be the decedent's last will and testament.	
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202</b>		
<b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>	Mr. Armo states he believes the document Mr. Kiehl has presented could constitute a life estate or a trust in favor of the decedent's children. No agreement has been reached at this time. Unless counsel and his client are able to come to an agreement Mr. Armo intends to file an 11700 Petition for Instructions to determine the relevance of the purported document.	

Reviewed by: LV

Reviewed on: 08/12/2016

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 8A- Ropolo

<b>DOD: 01/05/2015</b>	<b>SARAH OGNIBENE</b> , daughter, was appointed Administrator, with full IAEA authority, without bond on 05/13/2016.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
	Letters issued on 05/29/2016	
<b>Cont. from</b>	<b>Minute Order of 07/20/2016 set this Order to Show Cause.</b>	
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	<b>Minute Order of 07/20/2016:</b> NO APPEARANCES – The Court issues an Order to Show Cause to Lance Armo as to why he should not be sanctioned for his failure to appear and as to Sarah Ognibene as to why she should not be removed as Administrator for failure to file the First and Final Account. Mr. Armo is ordered to be personally present and Ms. Ognibene is ordered to be personally present in court or present via CourtCall on 08/17/2016.	
<b>Verified</b>		
<b>Inventory</b>		
<b>PTC</b>		
<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>	Clerk's Certificate of Mailing indicates a copy of the Minute Order of 07/20/2016 was mailed to Attorney Lance Armo and Administrator Sarah Ognibene on 07/20/2016	
<b>Letters</b>	<b>Declaration of Lance E. Armo Regarding Status of Matter filed 08/11/2016</b> states the last hearing in this case was held on 07/20/2016. Said hearing was the time ordered for the First and Final Account to be filed. Mr. Armo states he was in the midst of moving his office location and as of the day of the hearing his computers were not operating. He states he failed to appear at the hearing as his reminders on his computer did not notify him accordingly.	
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202</b>	Mr. Armo states he has not yet completed the Final Petition for Distribution on Account as there is still an outstanding issue with Gary Kiehl, a person who filed a competing Petition to Administer Estate in this matter. Counsel for Mr. Kiehl and Mr. Armo have spoken several times however no agreement has been reached on the authenticity or validity of the document Mr. Kiehl purports to be the decedent's last will and testament.	
<b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>	Mr. Armo states he believes the document Mr. Kiehl has presented could constitute a life estate or a trust in favor of the decedent's children. No agreement has been reached at this time. Unless counsel and his client are able to come to an agreement Mr. Armo intends to file an 11700 Petition for Instructions to determine the relevance of the purported document.	
<b>FTB Notice</b>		
		<b>Reviewed by:</b> LV
		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 08/12/2016
		<b>Updates:</b>
		<b>Recommendation:</b>
		<b>File 8B- Ropolo</b>



<b>Age: 9</b>	<b>ABEL WRATE</b> and <b>YER WRATE</b> , paternal uncle and aunt, were appointed Co-Guardians on 08/26/15.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
		<b>Page 10B is the Order to Show Cause</b>
<b>Cont. from 120215, 030216, 071316</b>	Both Petitioners and the minor reside in the State of Oregon.	<b>Minute Order of 07/13/2016: NO APPEARANCES – The Court issues an Order to Show Cause to Cindy Hopper as to why she should not be sanctioned for her failure to appear and inform the court of the status. Ms. Hopper is ordered to be personally present in court or present via CourtCall on 08/17/2016.</b>
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	<b>Minute Order from 08/26/15</b> set this status hearing and states: The Petition is granted with the condition that the matter is to be transferred to Oregon.	
<b>Verified</b>		
<b>Inventory</b>		
<b>PTC</b>		
<b>Not.Cred.</b>	Order Fixing Residence Outside the State of California was granted on 03/02/2016 allowing the Petitioners to move to the State of Oregon.	
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>	<b>Amended Petition for Appointment of Guardian/Conservator for the Minor Samuel Her filed 08/05/2016</b> states attached is a copy of the Amended Petition for Appointment of Guardian filed in the County of Washington, State of Oregon in case number 16PR01229.	
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>	<b>Declaration of Attorney Cindy J. Hopper filed 08/09/2016</b> states the guardianship petition was filed in California based on the UCCJEA as the home state of the child 6 months prior to the proceeding was the State of California. The Court granted the guardianship and then ordered that the case be transferred to Oregon which is the State where the guardians and now the child live.	
<b>CI Report</b>		<b>Reviewed by: LV</b>
<b>9202</b>		<b>Reviewed on: 08/12/2016</b>
<b>Order</b>		<b>Updates:</b>
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		<b>Recommendation:</b>
<b>Status Rpt</b>		<b>File 10A - Her</b>
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>		
	<b><u>Please see additional page</u></b>	

When the Court made the order to transfer the case the guardians located an attorney in Oregon to assist them. After a period of time the attorney in Oregon finally got the case filed in Oregon. On March 2, 2016, the Court ordered a status hearing set for July 13, 2016 at 9am to check the status of the guardianship and to see whether or not it had been filed in Oregon.

Ms. Hopper states she was in the Court house on July 13, 2016 as unfortunately she had approximately six other hearings that morning besides this case.

At 9am on July 13, 2016 Ms. Hopper accessed that she would be late to Department 303 as she was stuck in another family law department. Ms. Hopper states she text her staff to call Department 303 to notify that she would be late. Ms. Hopper's staff member responded "okay" however she failed to call the Court to notify the department.

Ms. Hopper states she entered Department 303 at approximately 9:55am and the Department was empty except for the deputy who informed Ms. Hopper of the next date.

Ms. Hopper states she sincerely apologizes to the Court for not appear on time and for failing to notify the Court that she was going to be late, even though she attempted to do so through her staff, however she states it is her sole responsibility. Ms. Hopper states as an officer of the Court and a practicing attorney for nearly 19 years she truly is sorry for not communicating with the Court and for not appearing in a timely manner. Even though she is sometimes double set as a courtesy to the bench it has always been Ms. Hopper's practice to notify the Department when she will be late.

Ms. Hopper states she hopes that your honor will not sanction her for not appearing as stated herein she did in fact appear although it was approximately 55 minutes late. Ms. Hopper sincerely apologizes to the Court and specifically to your Honor for not being in the department and for failing to communicate she was going to be late.

Ms. Hopper requests that the Court not sanction her for this as it is normally Ms. Hopper's routine to always communicate with the Court when she will be tardy and she will make a commitment to attend this Department hearings first. Ms. Hopper would like the Court to consider that she did in fact appear and Deputy Dau as well as madam clerk saw Ms. Hopper in Dept. 303 on July 13, 2016 however Ms. Hopper was approximately an hour late and she truly apologizes to the Court.

Age: 9	ABEL WRATE and YER WRATE, paternal uncle and aunt, were appointed Co-Guardians on 08/26/15.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOB: 07/07/2006		
	Both Petitioners and the minor reside in the State of Oregon.	
Cont. from		
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Order Fixing Residence Outside the State of California was granted on 03/02/2016 allowing the Petitioners to move to the State of Oregon.	
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.	<b>Minute Order of 07/13/2016 set this Order to Show Cause</b>	
Notice of Hrg	<b>Minute Order of 07/13/2016:</b> NO APPEARANCES – The Court issues an Order to Show Cause to Cindy Hopper as to why she should not be sanctioned for her failure to appear and inform the court of the status. Ms. Hopper is ordered to be personally present in court or present via CourtCall on 08/17/2016.	
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections	Court Clerk's Certificate of Mailing indicates the Minute Order of 07/13/2016 was mailed to Attorney Cindy Hopper on 07/14/2016.	
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order	<b>Declaration of Attorney Cindy J. Hopper filed 08/09/2016</b> states the guardianship petition was filed in California based on the UCCJEA as the home state of the child 6 months prior to the proceeding was the State of California. The Court granted the guardianship and then ordered that the case be transferred to Oregon which is the State where the guardians and now the child live.	
Aff. Posting		<b>Reviewed by:</b> LV
Status Rpt		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 08/12/2016
UCCJEA		<b>Updates:</b>
Citation		<b>Recommendation:</b>
FTB Notice		<b>File 10B- Her</b>
	<b>Please see additional page</b>	

When the Court made the order to transfer the case the guardians located an attorney in Oregon to assist them. After a period of time the attorney in Oregon finally got the case filed in Oregon. On March 2, 2016, the Court ordered a status hearing set for July 13, 2016 at 9am to check the status of the guardianship and to see whether or not it had been filed in Oregon.

Ms. Hopper states she was in the Court house on July 13, 2016 as unfortunately she had approximately six other hearings that morning besides this case.

At 9am on July 13, 2016 Ms. Hopper accessed that she would be late to Department 303 as she was stuck in another family law department. Ms. Hopper states she text her staff to call Department 303 to notify that she would be late. Ms. Hopper's staff member responded "okay" however she failed to call the Court to notify the department.

Ms. Hopper states she entered Department 303 at approximately 9:55am and the Department was empty except for the deputy who informed Ms. Hopper of the next date.

Ms. Hopper states she sincerely apologizes to the Court for not appear on time and for failing to notify the Court that she was going to be late, even though she attempted to do so through her staff, however she states it is her sole responsibility. Ms. Hopper states as an officer of the Court and a practicing attorney for nearly 19 years she truly is sorry for not communicating with the Court and for not appearing in a timely manner. Even though she is sometimes double set as a courtesy to the bench it has always been Ms. Hopper's practice to notify the Department when she will be late.

Ms. Hopper states she hopes that your honor will not sanction her for not appearing as stated herein she did in fact appear although it was approximately 55 minutes late. Ms. Hopper sincerely apologizes to the Court and specifically to your Honor for not being in the department and for failing to communicate she was going to be late.

Ms. Hopper requests that the Court not sanction her for this as it is normally Ms. Hopper's routine to always communicate with the Court when she will be tardy and she will make a commitment to attend this Department hearings first. Ms. Hopper would like the Court to consider that she did in fact appear and Deputy Dau as well as madam clerk saw Ms. Hopper in Dept. 303 on July 13, 2016 however Ms. Hopper was approximately an hour late and she truly apologizes to the Court.



Attorney Rozzo, Heather (for Petitioner, Maternal Grandmother Judith Jackson)

Attorney Rich Mount, Sheri (for Objector, Maternal Grandfather Bobby Gene Jackson)

## Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

See petition for details.		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		<b>Note:</b> Declaration in Opposition was filed 8/11/16 by Maternal Grandfather Bobby Gene Jackson.
Cont. from 071316		<b>Examiner's Note:</b> If Mr. Jackson wishes to be considered as a co-guardian, a petition with all applicable information, service thereof on all interested parties, and further investigation is necessary.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory	
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	W
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Objections	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CI Report	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Clearances	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation	
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice	
		1. Need proof of service of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the petition at least 15 days prior to the hearing per Probate Code §1511 <u>or</u> consent and waiver of notice <u>or</u> declaration of due diligence on: - Kelsey's paternal grandparents - Maternal Grandfather Bobby Gene Jackson
		1. The Court may require revised Order, Letters due to recently updated Judicial Council forms.
		<b>Note:</b> Notice of Hearing filed 8/11/16 indicates service on "Bobby Gene Jackson c/o Sheri Rich Mount, Esq.;" however, direct notice is required pursuant to Probate Code §1214 and Cal. Rule of Court 7.51.
		<b>Note:</b> Kelsey's father Samuel Beauchamp signed the consent and waiver of notice. Petitioner may be able to inquire with him as to his parents' information for service.
		Reviewed by: skc
		Reviewed on: 8/12/16
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 11A- Jackson

11A

**11B Quinn Jackson, Kelsey Jackson (GUARD/P) Case No. 15CEPR00764**

Petitioner Suarez, Alma D. (Pro Per – Paternal Grandmother – Petitioner)

Petitioner Armiento, Arnold (Pro Per – Paternal Step-Grandfather – Petitioner)

**Petition for Visitation**

See petition, response for details.			<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  1. Need Notice of Hearing.  2. Need proof of service of Notice of Hearing at least 15 days prior to the hearing on interested parties: - Judith Jackson (Maternal Grandmother and proposed guardian) - Bobby Jackson (Maternal Grandfather) - Samuel Beauchamp (Kelsey's father)
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	Verified		
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
	Notice of Hrg	x	
	Aff.Mail		
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
✓	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
	Order		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		
			Reviewed by: skc
			Reviewed on: 8/12/16
			Updates:
			Recommendation:
			File 11B- Jackson

**11B**

Attorney Heather H. Kruthers (for Public Administrator, Administrator with Will Annexed)

## Petition for Order Determining Heirship

<b>DOD: 7/27/2015</b>	<b>PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR</b> , Administrator with Will Annexed appointed on 2/17/2016, is Petitioner.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
<b>Cont. from</b>	<b>Petitioner states:</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Decedent's Will dated June 18, 2015 (copy attached as Exhibit "A") names the decedent's caregiver, <b>RAQUEL GHENT</b>, as his beneficiary; in fact, the Will uses the word "caregiver" more than once to refer to Ms. Ghent. The decedent is survived by one sister, <b>MARGARET BUEHLER</b>;</li> </ul>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Verified</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Although the Will was admitted to probate at the PA's request, the Public Administrator asserts that the provisions regarding distribution to Raquel Ghent are invalid since they devise property to a caregiver;</li> </ul>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Inventory</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This argument is based on Probate Code § 21380, which states: "(a) A provision of an instrument making a donative transfer to any of the following persons is presumed to be the product of fraud or undue influence: (3) A care custodian of a transferor who is a dependent adult, but only if the instrument was executed during the period in which the care custodian provided services to the transferor, or within 90 days before or after that period."</li> </ul>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>PTC</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Not.Cred.</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Mail</b>	W /	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Letters</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Objections</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Video Receipt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>CI Report</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>9202</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Order</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Public Administrator contacted Ms. Ghent to advise that she is not entitled to the estate because of her caregiver status; Ms. Ghent alleged that the decedent's sister wanted her to have the estate;</li> </ul>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Aff. Posting Status Rpt</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>However, Decedent's sister, <b>MARGARET BUEHLER</b>, confirmed to the Public Administrator that she did want her brother's estate, and if she died before the estate was closed, she wants her children to have it.</li> </ul>	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>UCCJEA</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Citation</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>FTB Notice</b>		
	<b>Petitioner prays for an Order that this Court determine Margaret Buehler to be the sole heir of the Estate of Gerald Clint Knapp.</b>	<b>Reviewed by:</b> LEG <b>Reviewed on:</b> 8/12/16 <b>Updates:</b> <b>Recommendation:</b> <b>File 13- Knapp</b>

Petitioner Corina Torres (Pro Per, maternal aunt)

## Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

		<b>NO TEMPORARY REQUESTED</b>	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
		<b>CORINA TORRES</b> , maternal aunt, is Petitioner.	<b>Continued from 6/1/2016.</b> Minute Order states examiner notes given.
		<i>~Please see Petition for details~</i>	<b>The following defects from the last hearing remain:</b>
Cont. from 060116, 070616		<b>Court Investigator's Report was filed on 5/23/2016.</b>	1. Need proof of personal service of the Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian, or a Consent to Appointment of Guardian and Waiver of Notice, or a Declaration of Due Diligence, for:
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Urbano Magana, father, as reported to Court Investigator;</li> </ul>
✓	Verified		and/or
	Inventory		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Oscar Lopez Gonzalez, father, as listed on birth certificate.</li> </ul>
	PTC		2. Proof of Service by Mail of the Notice of Hearing filed 6/6/2016 does not show that a <u>copy</u> of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian was served with the notice as required to:
	Not.Cred.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sara Gonzalez, paternal grandmother listed in Child Information Attachment.</li> <li>• Rafael Torres, maternal grandfather;</li> <li>• Roberto Lopez (<b>Note:</b> His relationship to the minor is unspecified; need clarification from Petitioner as to his identity.)</li> </ul>
✓	Notice of Hrg		3. Need proof of service by mail of the Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian, or a Consent to Appointment of Guardian and Waiver of Notice, or a Declaration of Due Diligence:
	Aff.Mail	X	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• paternal grandfather; (father of Urbano Magana);</li> <li>• paternal grandmother (mother of Urbano Magana);</li> <li>• Maternal grandmother.</li> </ul>
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.	X	
✓	Conf. Screen		
✓	Letters		
✓	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
✓	CI Report		
✓	Clearances		
✓	Order		
	Aff. Posting		<b>Reviewed by:</b> LEG
	Status Rpt		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 8/12/16
✓	UCCJEA		<b>Updates:</b>
	Citation		<b>Recommendation:</b>
	FTB Notice		<b>File 14- Lopez</b>

DOD: 01/20/2016		ALMA D. LOUSBERG, ex-spouse, is petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		40 days since DOD	<b><u>OFF CALENDAR.</u></b> <b><u>Amended Petition to Determine Succession filed 07/25/2016.</u></b> <b><u>Hearing is set for 09/06/2016.</u></b>
Cont. from 051216, 060116, 070616		No other proceedings	<b>Note:</b> Petitioner originally filed in Pro Per however has now retained Catherine Amador.
Aff.Sub.Wit.		I&A – NEED	
✓	Verified	Will dated: 03/20/2015	<b>Minute Order of 05/12/2016:</b> Examiner notes provided in open court.
	Inventory	x	
	PTC		<b>Minute Order of 06/01/2016:</b> Counsel requests time to address the notice issues.
	Not.Cred.		
	Notice of Hrg	x	<b>As of this Examiner's review on 06/30/2016, there has been nothing new filed.</b>
	Aff.Mail	x	
	Aff.Pub.		<b>The deficiencies with the pleadings include, but are not limited to, the following:</b>
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It does not appear that the petitioner has the standing to petition the Court as she is not the successor in interest. The minor child, Emma Lang's is the successor in interest therefore the duly appointed guardian of her estate can petition on her behalf.</li> <li>2. This petition can only pass the decedent's property to the successor in interest any other requests regarding the real property should be made in the Guardianship of the Estate case.</li> </ol>
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters		<b>Please see additional page</b>
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		<b>Reviewed by:</b> LV
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 08/12/2016
	9202		
	Order	x	<b>Updates:</b>
			<b>Recommendation:</b>
			<b>File 15- Lang</b>
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		

3. Petition was opened using a Fee Waiver. Filing fees are considered cost of administration and must be paid prior to distribution of property. Filing fee of \$435 will be due prior to distribution of the real property should the Court grant this petition.
4. Need original will to be deposited with the Court pursuant to Probate Code §8200(a)(1).
5. Need Inventory and Appraisal.
6. Attachment 11 does not include the decedent's interest in real property.
7. Need Notice of Hearing.
8. Need proof of service of the Notice of Hearing on Emma Lang.
9. Need Order. Local Rule 7.1 states a proposed Order shall be submitted with all pleadings that request relief. If the proposed order is not received in the Probate Filing Clerk's Office ten (10) days before the scheduled hearing, a continuance may be required.

## Amended Spousal or Domestic Partner Property Petition

<b>DOD: 7/2/2012</b>		<b>ELVIRA HERRERA</b> , surviving spouse, is petitioner	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>	
<b>Cont. from</b>		No other proceedings	<p>1. A fee waiver was filed in this matter. Court fees are considered costs of administration; therefore, a \$435 filing fee is due for the Spousal Property Petition.</p> <p>2. Attachment 7 to petition states the property was acquired during the marriage, but does not does allege enough facts upon which the Court can determine the property should be determined to pass or be confirmed to the surviving spouse. Need information as to when the parties were married, if they lived continuously together as husband and wife, and how the property was acquired (e.g., with community property funds, by gift, devise or by other separate property funds, etc.)</p> <p>3. Attachment 7b to petition does not include a description of the community property interest that the petitioner requests the court confirm <u>belongs</u> to her.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>See additional page.</b></p>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	Decedent died intestate		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Verified</b>	<b>Petitioner states</b> administration of all of the estate is not necessary for the reason that all of the estate, which only consists of real property was acquired by the decedent and petitioner during marriage, whereby all mortgage payments were paid with the community funds in accordance with Probate Code 100 and 101 and Family Code 297.5 – <b>see note 2</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Inventory</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>PTC</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Not.Cred.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Mail</b>			w/
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Pers.Serv.</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Conf. Screen</b>			<b>Petitioner requests – see note 4</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Letters</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Duties/Supp</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Objections</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Video Receipt</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>CI Report</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>9202</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Order</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff. Posting</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Status Rpt</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>UCCJEA</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Citation</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>FTB Notice</b>			
			<b>Reviewed by:</b> SEF	
			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 8/12/2016	
			<b>Updates:</b>	
			<b>Recommendation:</b>	
			<b>File 16- Herrera</b>	

**NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS, *continued*:**

4. Item 1(a) of the petition is marked asking for a determination of property passing to the surviving spouse. However, item 7(b) of the petition is marked requesting determination of the property belonging to the surviving spouse. Item 7(a) of petition is not marked asking to pass property to the surviving spouse. Therefore, it is unclear what relief the petitioner is requesting.
5. Item 5a(1) of petition indicates decedent is survived by a child. However, item 9 does not list the name, age, residence or mailing address of child, as required.
6. Item 5a(2) is incomplete regarding if decedent is survived by issue of a predeceased child.
7. Per items 4 and 5, above, the examiner is unable to determine who is entitled to notice of the petition.
8. The order requests court confirmation that decedent's interest in real property passes to her. The petition only requests the court determine the property belongs to her.



Petitioner

Sapien, Mariah (Pro Per – Paternal Aunt – Petitioner)

## Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

See petition for details.			<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  <u>Minute Order 6/29/16:</u> Examiner Notes given.  <u>Note:</u> As of 8/12/16, nothing further has been filed.  If this petition goes forward, the following issues exist.
Cont. from 062916			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Gary Sapien, Father, signed the Nomination section of the form GC-211, but not the Consent and Waiver of Notice section. Therefore, the Court may require personal service of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the petition on Gary Sapien per Probate Code §1511.</li> <li>2. Petitioner listed a step-grandfather instead of the biological maternal grandfather. Need proof of service of Notice of Hearing with a copy fo the petition at least 15 days prior to the hearing on the maternal grandfather per Probate Code §1511, or consent and waiver of notice, or declaration of due diligence.</li> </ol>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verified		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	W	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	W	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Letters		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CI Report		
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Order		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice		
			Reviewed by: skc
			Reviewed on: 8/12/16
			Updates:
			Recommendation:
			File 17- Sapien

## Petition to Determine Succession to Real Property

<b>DOD: 11/15/2009</b>	<b>ROY CARDENAS</b> , son, is petitioner		<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  <u>Background:</u> Petitioner Roy Cardenas (son) filed a petition requesting decedent's 100% interest in real property pass to him pursuant to disclaimers of interest and intestate succession. Four of decedent's children had disclaimed their interest in the estate.  Prob C §275 et seq states if a beneficiary disclaims their interest in an estate, the disclaimer acts as if the disclaiming party predeceased the decedent. Therefore, the issue of Juan G. Cardenas, Aurelia M. Langford, Juan Manuel Cardoza and Jose Luis Cardoza (if any) are successors in interest to the property and are entitled to an intestate share of this estate. The examiner notes stated the Court required the names, relationships, ages and addresses of any issue of the disclaimants.  The matter was continued, directing counsel to notice the heirs regarding the disclaimer issue or obtain assignments, and set the matter for status of disclaimers or filing of an amended petition.  On 7/22/2016, petitioner filed a <i>Petition to Rescind or in the Alternative Disallow Qualified Disclaimers and Approve Assignments of Interest to Petitioner.</i>
	40 days since DOD		
	No other proceedings		
	I&A - <b>\$85,000.00</b>		
<b>Cont. from 060816, 062916, 071316</b>	Decedent died intestate		
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>			
✓ <b>Verified</b>			
✓ <b>Inventory</b>			
✓ <b>PTC</b>			
<b>Not.Cred.</b>			
✓ <b>Notice of Hrg</b>			
✓ <b>Aff.Mail</b>	w/		
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>			
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>			
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>			
<b>Conf. Screen</b>			
<b>Letters</b>			
<b>Duties/Supp</b>			
<b>Objections</b>			
<b>Video Receipt</b>			
<b>CI Report</b>			
<b>9202</b>			
✓ <b>Order</b>			
<b>Aff. Posting</b>			
<b>Status Rpt</b>			
<b>UCCJEA</b>			
<b>Citation</b>			
<b>FTB Notice</b>			

Reviewed by: SEF

Reviewed on: 8/12/2016

Updates:

Recommendation:

File 18A- Cardenas

**Petition to Rescind or in the Alternative Disallow Qualified Disclaimers and Approve Assignments of Interest to Petitioner**

<b>DOD: 11/15/2009</b>		<p><b>ROY CARDENAS, JUAN CARDENAS, AURELIA LANGFORD, JUAN CARDOZA and JOSE LUIS CARDOZA</b> are petitioners and seek a court order rescinding disclaimers of interest filed with the Court on 5/2/2016 by four of the five intestate heirs of decedent's estate and approving their assignments of interest to Roy Cardenas.</p> <p><b>Petition states</b> that Juan Cardenas, Aurelia Langford, Juan Cardoza and Jose Luis Cardoza's intent in filing their disclaimers in this proceeding was not to disclaim their interest in the property of the estate, but to assign all of their interest in the property to their brother, Roy Cardenas.</p> <p>The property of the estate is presently in foreclosure and the sale has been postponed to 8/22/2016. The intent of filing the disclaimers was to request the court distribute all interest in the property to petitioner to enable him to obtain a loan to stop the foreclosure and repay any outstanding loans owed by decedent. Petitioner has been preapproved for a loan pending an order by this court to distribute all of the property to petitioner and that the property would be his sole and separate property.</p> <p>Each of them understand they have an equal interest in the property, but desire to waive, assign and transfer all of their right, title, interest and benefit in and to the property to Roy Cardenas.</p> <p>Petitioners request the Court rescind their disclaimers filed with the court on 5/2/2016, stating they were filed in error, as their intent was to transfer and assign all interest to petitioner, and that the disclaimers were invalid due to untimely filing pursuant to Prob C§ 279(b)(1)(2).</p> <p>They further request the Court approve their assignments of interest to Roy Cardenas.</p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. There is no provision for assignments in summary proceedings.</li> <li>2. Need order.</li> </ol>	
<b>Cont. from</b>				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Verified</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Inventory</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>PTC</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Not.Cred.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Mail</b>			w/
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Pers.Serv.</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Conf. Screen</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Letters</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Duties/Supp</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Objections</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Video Receipt</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>CI Report</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>9202</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Order</b>			x
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff. Posting</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Status Rpt</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>UCCJEA</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Citation</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>FTB Notice</b>			

**Reviewed by:** SEF

**Reviewed on:** 8/12/2016

**Updates:**

**Recommendation:**

**File 18B- Cardenas**

**Probate Status Hearing RE: Filing of the Bond**

		<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><b><u>OFF CALENDAR.</u></b> Bond filed. 7/26/16.</p>
Cont. from		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Verified	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inventory	
<input type="checkbox"/>	PTC	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not.Cred.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Letters	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt	
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report	
<input type="checkbox"/>	9202	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Order	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt	
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation	
<input type="checkbox"/>	FTB Notice	
		Reviewed by: KT
		Reviewed on: 8/12/16
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 19- Cooper-McCann

## Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person

		<b>LINDA OMAN</b> , maternal great-aunt, is petitioner.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  1. Need proof of personal service of the Notice of Hearing along with a copy of the Petition or Consent and Waiver of Notice for: a. Troy Smith (father) b. Kristi Uyesaka (mother) c. Peyton Smith (minor) <u><b>Note:</b></u> Both parents were mailed notice without a copy of the petition. Both parents nominate the petitioner but have not waived notice.  2. Paternal grandparents, maternal grandfather and siblings were mailed notice without a copy of the petition as required.  3. Confidential Guardian Screening form is incomplete at #14. Petitioner answered in the affirmative without explaining as required.  4. Order and Letters were submitted on outdated forms. Need current versions of the Order and Letters revised on 7/1/16.
		Please see petition for details.	
<b>Cont. from</b>		<b>Court Investigator Report filed on 8/2/16</b>	
	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
✓	<b>Verified</b>		
	<b>Inventory</b>		
	<b>PTC</b>		
	<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
✓	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
✓	<b>Aff.Mail</b>	W/O	
	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
	<b>Pers.Serv.</b>	X	
✓	<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
✓	<b>Letters</b>		
✓	<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
	<b>Objections</b>		
	<b>Video Receipt</b>		
✓	<b>CI Report</b>		
	<b>9202</b>		
✓	<b>Order</b>		
	<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
	<b>Status Rpt</b>		
✓	<b>UCCJEA</b>		
	<b>Citation</b>		
	<b>FTB Notice</b>		
			<b>Reviewed by: KT</b>
			<b>Reviewed on: 8/12/16</b>
			<b>Updates:</b>
			<b>Recommendation:</b>
			<b>File 20- Smith</b>

## Petition for Instructions to Establish Trust Ownership

<b>DOD: 10/21/2014</b>		<b>CHRISTINA MARIA FRICKE-TREVINO</b> , Settlor's daughter and Successor Trustee, is Petitioner.  <p align="center"><i>~Please see Petition for details~</i></p> <b>Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support</b> filed 6/28/2016.  <b>Declaration of Robert Koligian, Jr.</b> , filed 6/28/2016 in Support of the Petition.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  1. Need proposed order pursuant to Local Rule 7.1.1 (F).	
<b>Cont. from</b>				
	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>			
✓	<b>Verified</b>			
	<b>Inventory</b>			
	<b>PTC</b>			
	<b>Not.Cred.</b>			
✓	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>			
✓	<b>Aff.Mail</b>			W /
	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>			
	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>			
	<b>Pers.Serv.</b>			
	<b>Conf. Screen</b>			
	<b>Letters</b>			
	<b>Duties/Supp</b>			
	<b>Objections</b>			
	<b>Video Receipt</b>			
	<b>CI Report</b>			
	<b>9202</b>			
	<b>Order</b>	X		
	<b>Aff. Posting</b>			
	<b>Status Rpt</b>			
	<b>UCCJEA</b>			
	<b>Citation</b>			
	<b>FTB Notice</b>			
		<b>Reviewed by:</b> LEG		
		<b>Reviewed on:</b> 8/15/16		
		<b>Updates:</b>		
		<b>Recommendation:</b>		
		<b>File 21- Velasquez</b>		

Petitioner: Carmen Juarez (Pro per)

Petitioner: Gilbert Juarez (Pro per)

Attorney: Curtis D. Rindlisbacher (Court-appointed for proposed conservatee)

## Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Person and Estate

		<b><u>TEMPORARY DENIED 7/26/2016</u></b>	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>	
		<b>CARMEN JUAREZ and GILBERT JUAREZ</b> , parents [divorced], are petitioners and request appointment as co-conservators of the person and estate without bond, with medical treatment consent powers.  <i>See petition for details.</i>	<b>Court Investigator advised rights on 8/3/2016.</b>  1. Need <i>Citation</i> . 2. Need proof of personal service of <i>Citation</i> on proposed conservatee. 3. Item 5f of petition is incomplete regarding if the proposed conservatee is developmentally disabled. 4. Need <i>Confidential Conservator Screening Form</i> for Carmen Juarez. 5. Need <i>Capacity Declaration</i> in support of medical consent powers. 6. Need video viewing receipt for petitioners: a. Carmen Juarez b. Gilbert Juarez	
<b>Cont. from</b>				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Verified</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Inventory</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>PTC</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Not.Cred.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Mail</b>			w/
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Pers.Serv.</b>			x
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Conf. Screen</b>			x
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Letters</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Duties/Supp</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Objections</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Video Receipt</b>			x
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>CI Report</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>9202</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Order</b>			x
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff. Posting</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Status Rpt</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>UCCJEA</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Citation</b>	x		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>FTB Notice</b>			
			<b>Reviewed by:</b> SEF	
			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 8/15/2016	
			<b>Updates:</b>	
			<b>Recommendation:</b>	
			<b>File 24- Juarez</b>	

**25 Lawrence Green (Estate)****Case No. 16CEPR00722****Attorney Sablan, Stacy F. (for Maria Yolanda Sanchez – Petitioner – Surviving Spouse)****Petition for Letters of Administration with IAEA**

<b>DOD: 01/07/2015</b>		<b>MARIA YOLANDA SANCHEZ</b> , surviving spouse is petitioner and requests appointment as Administrator with bond set at \$110,000.00.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  1. Need Affidavit of Publication.
		Full IAEA - ?	
<b>Cont. from</b>			
	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	Decedent died intestate	
✓	<b>Verified</b>	Residence: Firebaugh Publication: <b>Need</b>	
	<b>Inventory</b>		
	<b>PTC</b>		
	<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
✓	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>	<b>Estimated value of the Estate:</b> Personal property - \$15,760.02 Real property - \$120,000.00 Less encumbrances - \$28,995.73	
✓	<b>Aff.Mail</b>	<b>Total - \$106,714.29</b>	
	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>	x	
	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>	Probate Referee: Steven Diebert	
	<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
	<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
✓	<b>Letters</b>		
✓	<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
	<b>Objections</b>		
	<b>Video Receipt</b>		
	<b>CI Report</b>		
	<b>9202</b>		
✓	<b>Order</b>		
	<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
	<b>Status Rpt</b>		
	<b>UCCJEA</b>		
	<b>Citation</b>		
	<b>FTB Notice</b>		

<b>Reviewed by:</b> LV
<b>Reviewed on:</b> 08/15/2016
<b>Updates:</b>
<b>Recommendation:</b>
<b>File 25- Green</b>



## Petition to Determine Succession to Real Property

<b>DOD: 8/15/13</b>		<b>ALBERT FRANCO and PATRICIA LEONARD,</b> Son and Daughter, are Petitioners.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
		40 days since DOD	
		No other proceedings	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Verified</b>	I&A: \$125,442.93 (real property, household furniture and furnishings, and \$4,442.93 cash)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Inventory</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>PTC</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Not.Cred.</b>	Decedent died intestate	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>	Petitioners request Court determination that the decedent's real and personal property passes to them 50% each.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Letters</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Objections</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>CI Report</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>9202</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Order</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Citation</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>FTB Notice</b>		
			<b>Reviewed by:</b> skc
			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 8/12/16
			<b>Updates:</b>
			<b>Recommendation:</b> SUBMITTED
			<b>File 26- Kozera</b>

**Petition for Final Distribution Upon Waivers of Account and for Allowance of Compensation for Ordinary Services**

<b>DOD: 7/26/84</b>		<p><b>LARRY OFFIELD</b>, Son and Executor with Full IAEA without bond, is Petitioner. (Letters issued 9/14/84.)</p> <p>Accounting is waived.</p> <p>I&amp;A: \$69,464.00 POH: \$50,714.00 (consisting of a 25% interest in certain real property, a 50% interest in a business, and misc. personal property)</p> <p>Executor waives statutory compensation.</p> <p>Attorney (Statutory): \$2,778.56</p> <p>The decedent's will devises certain real property to his former wife and Petitioner's mother, Estelle Mae McAlister, who died 4/12/93. Petitioner states under the terms of the deceased distributee's will and Probate Code §§ 21110(a), 21111(a)(2), Larry L. Offield is entitled to her interest in this estate.</p> <p>Therefore, Petitioner requests distribution pursuant to Decedent's will and Probate Code §§ 21110(a), 21111(a)(2) as follows:</p> <p>Larry Offield: Entire estate</p>	<p><b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b></p> <p><b><i>Minute Order 8/3/16: If the Court is able to approve this matter before the 8/17/16 hearing, the matter may come off calendar.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Note: The attorney was notified via email on 8/9/16 that this matter would be heard on the continued date of 8/17/16.</i></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES</u></b></p>	
<b>Cont. from 080316</b>				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Verified</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Inventory</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>PTC</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Not.Cred.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Aff.Mail</b>			w
	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>			
	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>			
	<b>Pers.Serv.</b>			
	<b>Conf. Screen</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Letters</b>			9/14/84
	<b>Duties/Supp</b>			
	<b>Objections</b>			
	<b>Video Receipt</b>			
	<b>CI Report</b>			
	<b>9202</b>			x
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Order</b>			
	<b>Aff. Posting</b>			
	<b>Status Rpt</b>			
	<b>UCCJEA</b>			
	<b>Citation</b>			
N/A	<b>FTB Notice</b>			
		<p><b>Reviewed by:</b> skc</p> <p><b>Reviewed on:</b> 8/12/16</p> <p><b>Updates:</b></p> <p><b>Recommendation:</b></p> <p><b>File 27- Offield</b></p>		

## Page 2 – NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

1. **Petitioner does not address whether notice was required or served under §9202.**

**Supplement to Petition filed 8/2/16 states:** Probate Code §9202 was adopted effective 7/1/91 and is therefore not applicable to this proceeding as notice was not required when this proceeding was commenced in 1984. Specific notice to the Franchise Tax Board only applies when letters are first issued on or after 7/1/08. Therefore, there would be no statutory authority or obligation that would apply to the estate or any of the beneficiaries.

**Examiner's Note:** This estate has remained open and §9202(a) and (b) (notice to DHCS and Victim Comp) were enacted during administration. To be properly closed, must comply with the notice required under these sections. (§9202(c), notice to FTB, specifically states notice is not required in estates where letters issued prior to 7/1/08. Sections (a) and (b) contain no such specific language, and therefore are applicable to this estate.

2. **Petitioner requests distribution of the 25% interest in certain real property devised to Estelle McAlister, who failed to survive administration (DOD: 4/12/93), to himself as her sole heir under her will pursuant to §§ 21110(a) and 21111(a)(2), which describe failed transfers. However, these sections do not appear appropriate to this situation. Rather, it appears distribution of the decedent's 25% interest in this parcel should be made to the Estate of Estelle McAlister pursuant to Probate Code §11801, and Petitioner as her heir can then use a summary proceeding to distribute such parcel under her will.**

**Supplement to Petition filed 8/2/16 states:** This section, if applied, would require that the sole beneficiary would have to file a separate petition under §13150 to transfer the real property to himself, resulting in a second and unnecessary probate "proceeding." There might also be a separate proceeding under "real property of small value" under §13200. These proceedings would each also require an additional Inventory and Appraisal. These are unnecessary as the court may confirm the direct transfer from the Estate of Lee Offield to the deceased's sole beneficiary, being Larry Offield. The will of Lee James Offield intended that it was his intention to dispose of all property, real or personal, which he has the power to pass by testamentary disposition. The decedent gave all remaining property over which he had disposition to his son, Larry Offield, except for the 25% interest in one parcel that was given to Estelle Mae McAlister. The will does provide that all property, both "real and personal" be devised to decedent's son, which can be read as the "residuary devise or "alternative transfer" under §§21111(a)(1) and (2) and/or (e). In any event, the will of Estelle Mae McAlister also provides that Larry Offield is her sole beneficiary. Read together, both wills provide that all remaining property would pass to Larry Offield, which is the distribution set forth in this petition.

Petitioner states it is the intent of §11801 to render void the distribution to a deceased person when the proposed gift fails because of death. See authority cited. This court's final decree would stand as final and conclusive interpretation of both testators' intents and be binding on all interested parties, which would be Larry Offield. See authority cited.

**Examiner's Note:** Petitioner cites a matter where a testamentary trust being administered for 30 years after conclusion of the estate, and a judgment that the post-deceased beneficiary's heirs would take in the post-deceased heir's stead, *via the trust*. However, this estate has not been closed. The post-deceased heir's estate and will are not before the court. Real property must pass pursuant to §11801 to a post-deceased heir's estate and then by separate proceeding to her heir. The only provision for collection of assets by successor in interest to a post-deceased heir's share is for personal property only under §13100, which is not applicable to real property.

**SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES**

## Page 3 – NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS (Cont'd):

3. This estate was opened over 30 years ago in 1984. Litigation occurred within the estate through 1987; however, thereafter, nothing further was filed until the Court discovered the open estate in 2015. Executor has continuously been represented by Attorney Shabazian. The attorney requests the full amount of statutory compensation. Probate Code §12205 allows the court to reduce compensation when an estate is not timely closed, such failure was within the control of executor/attorney, and such failure was not in the best interest of interested persons. Here, the devisee to certain real property passed away without having received her interest. Had the estate been timely administered, this detriment would not have occurred. As such, The Court may reduce the attorney's statutory compensation.

**Supplement to Petition filed 8/2/16 states:** § 12205 requires that the court make all determinations as provided therein to reduce compensation. There has been no loss or damage of any interest of the estate or any interested persons, being solely Larry Offield, who has had the use and control of the property. Any harm or loss of the estate of Estelle Mae McAlister in not receiving a 25% share of the real property prior to her death is not apparent. In fact, her will leaves all of her assets to her son. It is of course up to the court's review to make the mandatory "determinations" required under § 12205(a)(1)-(3) to reduce what is a modest statutory fee.

<b>DOD: 02/09/2016</b>	<b>DANIEL I.S.J. REY-BEAR</b> , was appointed Administrator with limited IAEA authority, with \$1,377,528.40 (approx.) ordered to be placed into blocked accounts at Chase Bank and Wells Fargo Bank, and with bond set at \$20,000.00 on 06/22/2016.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  <b>Minute Order of 08/03/2016:</b> <b>Counsel requests two weeks. No appearance is necessary at the hearing if the required documents are filed at least two court days prior.</b>  1. Need Receipt for Blocked Account from Chase Bank account.
<b>Cont. from 071316, 080316</b>	Order to Deposit Money into Blocked Account filed 05/18/2016 indicates total amount to be deposited is in Chase Bank is \$1,106,502.08.	
<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>	Order to Deposit Money into Blocked Account filed 05/18/2016 indicates total amount to be deposited is in Wells Fargo Bank \$291,026.12.	
<b>Verified</b>	Receipt of Bond filed 07/06/2016 in the amount of \$20,000.00.	
<b>Inventory</b>	Letters issued on 07/06/2016	
<b>PTC</b>	Receipt of Bond in the amount of \$203,085.64 was filed 08/15/2016.	
<b>Not.Cred.</b>	<b>Minute Order of 06/22/2016</b> set this Status Hearing for the filing of the Proof of Funds in Blocked Account.	
<b>Notice of Hrg</b>	<b>Status Report for Proof of Deposit of Funds filed 08/15/2016 states on 06/22/2016</b> this Honorable Court ordered funds to be deposited in blocked accounts at Chase Bank and Wells Fargo Bank. On 08/01/2016 Wells Fargo transferred funds from the last account, a certificate of deposit, to the new Estate account so as to avoid any penalty. Today, the Receipt and Acknowledgement for the Wells Fargo Bank Account No. 6006610676 was filed and is attached as Exhibit 1. As of today Chase Bank has not filed any Judicial Council Receipt Forms directly with the Court and a copy has not been received. Mr. Ramirez states he has been advised that it is being processed. He has been advised that the Estate accounts have been opened, accounts are blocked and he is just waiting for the Judicial Council Receipt forms to be filed. It is requested that the Court continued the matter to Tuesday 09/20/2016 if available or at the convenience of the Court on a Monday or Tuesday.	
<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
<b>Pers.Serv.</b>		
<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
<b>Letters</b>		
<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
<b>Objections</b>		
<b>Video Receipt</b>		
<b>CI Report</b>		
<b>9202</b>		
<b>Order</b>		
<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
<b>Status Rpt</b>		
<b>UCCJEA</b>		
<b>Citation</b>		
<b>FTB Notice</b>		

<b>Reviewed by:</b> LV
<b>Reviewed on:</b> 08/12/2016
<b>Updates:</b> 08/15/2016
<b>Recommendation:</b>
<b>File 28- Lawson</b>

Petitioner Evelyn Louise Traylor (Pro Per, maternal grandmother)

## Petition for Appointment of Temporary Guardian of the Person

		<b><u>General Hearing set for 9/21/2016</u></b>	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>
		<b>EVELYN LOUISE TRAYLOR</b> , maternal grandmother, is Petitioner.	<p><b><u>Continued from 8/3/2016.</u></b> Minute Order states the Court orders that the minor remain with Karen, Howardton, paternal grandmother, at this time. The Court further orders that the minor is not to be removed from the state of California or the county of Fresno for any reason. Any written objections are to be filed and properly served by 8/10/2016. The Court orders visitation for Evelyn Traylor every weekend from Friday at 5pm through Sunday at 5pm, beginning 8/5/2016. Each receiving party shall pick the minor up.</p>
<b>Cont. from 080316</b>		<b>~Please see Petition for details~</b>	
	<b>Aff.Sub.Wit.</b>		
✓	<b>Verified</b>		
	<b>Inventory</b>		
	<b>PTC</b>		
	<b>Not.Cred.</b>		
✓	<b>Notice of Hrg</b>		
	<b>Aff.Mail</b>		
	<b>Aff.Pub.</b>		
	<b>Sp.Ntc.</b>		
✓	<b>Pers.Serv.</b>	W/	
✓	<b>Conf. Screen</b>		
✓	<b>Letters</b>		
✓	<b>Duties/Supp</b>		
✓	<b>Objections</b>		
	<b>Video Receipt</b>		
	<b>CI Report</b>		
	<b>9202</b>		
✓	<b>Order</b>		
	<b>Aff. Posting</b>		
	<b>Status Rpt</b>		
✓	<b>UCCJEA</b>		
	<b>Citation</b>		
	<b>FTB Notice</b>		
			<b>Reviewed by:</b> LEG
			<b>Reviewed on:</b> 8/12/16
			<b>Updates:</b>
			<b>Recommendation:</b>
			<b>File 29- Borboa</b>

**29 Additional Page, Kyson Owen Borboa (GUARD/P) Case No. 16CEPR00771**

*Objection to Guardianship* filed by FELICIA GEORGESON, mother, on 8/10/2016.

**NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS for Objector:**

1. Need proof of service by mail of a copy of the *Objection to Guardianship* filed on 8/10/2016 for the following persons:
  - Teddy Borboa, father;
  - Evelyn Traylor, maternal grandmother (Petitioner);
  - Dennis Georgeson, maternal grandfather;
  - Barry Borboa, paternal grandfather;
  - Karen Howardton, paternal grandmother.

## Petition for Visitation

			See petition for details.	<b>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</b>  <u><b>Note: This matter will be heard at 8:30 am in Dept. 54.</b></u>  <u>Minute Order 7/20/16:</u> Court continues hearing for minor Angel Rios and father Nathan Rios to be served with the Notice of Hearing and the petition.  <u>Note:</u> All notice issues have now been cured.
Cont. from 060716, 070616, 072016				
	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓	Verified			
	Inventory			
	PTC			
	Not.Cred.			
✓	Notice of Hrg			
	Aff.Mail			
	Aff.Pub.			
	Sp.Ntc.			
✓	Pers.Serv.	W		
	Conf. Screen			
	Letters			
	Duties/Supp			
	Objections			
	Video Receipt			
	CI Report			
	9202			
	Order			
	Aff. Posting			
	Status Rpt			
	UCCJEA			
	Citation			
	FTB Notice			
			Reviewed by: skc	
			Reviewed on: 8/12/16	
			Updates:	
			Recommendation:	
			File 1 – Rios	